

| | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/535,248 Examiner Jason A. Sese | ITO ET AL. Art Unit 1709 |

All Participants:

(1) Jason A. Sese.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Roger Lee.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Ogawa et al. (JP 2001-330725 A)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner requested an oral election between Group I (Claims 1-5) drawn to a method of producing an optical compensating sheet, and Group II (Claims 6-20) drawn to an optical film. The applicants elected to Group II of the article.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)